

Minutes
Court Statistics & Workload Committee Meeting
June 21, 2013
Phone Conference

The Honorable Paul Alessandroni, Chair

11:40 am Meeting convened

Ten of the sixteen members were in attendance:

The Honorable Paul Alessandroni, The Honorable David H. Foxman, The Honorable Ellen S. Masters, The Honorable Barbara T. Scott, The Honorable Sharon Robertson, Mr. Philip G. Schlissel, Ms. Holly Elomina, Ms. Kathleen R. Pugh, Mr. David Trammell, & Mr. Fred Buhl

Members absent:

The Honorable J. Preston Silvernail, The Honorable G. Keith Cary, The Honorable Ilona M. Holmes, The Honorable Shelley J. Kravitz, Ms. Diane Kirigin, & Mr. Grant Slayden.

Staff in attendance:

Greg Youchock, P.J. Stockdale, Shelley Kaus, & Blan Teagle

Item I. Opening Remarks and Introductions

Item II. Housekeeping

- A. Minutes of 02/01/2013 meeting presented.
- B. Members voted (unanimously) to approve the minutes of 02/01/2013 meeting.

Item III. Case Event Framework

- A. Submission to TCP&A
 - 1. Staff updated members on the name change of the Reopen/Reclose definitions to the new "Case Event Framework."
 - 2. Judge Alessandroni presented the Case Event Framework to the TCP&A at their May 24, 2013 meeting, at which time the Commission voted unanimously to adopt these definitions for use in trial court activity reporting.
 - 3. Staff also reported that these definitions had been adopted by the Trial Court Budget Commission for use in their FY2013-14 Foreclosure Initiative.
 - 4. Staff is currently evaluating the necessary changes to the SRS manual to incorporate the revised definitions.
- B. Submission to the Florida Supreme Court

**Commission on Trial Court
Performance & Accountability
Court Statistics & Workload Committee**

1. CSWC staff, in conjunction with TCP&A staff, is currently working on an OSCA Transmittal Letter and TCP&A Chair cover letter for submission of the Case Event Framework to the supreme court.

Item IV. Judicial Workload Model (Case Weight) Review

- A. Staff reported that the preliminary recalculations of event proportions have been completed and the adjustment modifier has been developed. The results of both are currently being validated, and the final results of both the reviews will be presented for committee vote at the next CSWC meeting.

Item V. Performance Measures Required by Fl. R. Jud. Adm. 2.225(a)(2)

- A. Judicial Management Council (JMC) Performance Workgroup
 1. Staff briefed the members on the creation of a Performance Workgroup created by the JMC. The workgroup had its first meeting on May 17, 2013.
 2. Staff will continue to monitor this workgroup and keep the CSWC apprised.
- B. Case Age Simulation Update
 1. Staff is currently conducting these simulations and plans to present the results at the next CSWC meeting.

Item VI. Status Reports

- A. Statutory and Rule Changes to Stalking Violence Reporting
 1. The Family Law Rules Committee has filed a supplemental petition to amend the language in form 12.900(h) and Family Law Cover Sheet 12.928 to add the Stalking Injunction for data collection.
 2. The petition is currently pending court review and decision.
- B. TIMS Project Report (Final)
 1. As previously reported, the supreme court has approved the recommendations from the TIMS report including: 1) a set of case flow diagrams to identify critical decision points within various case types; 2) a set of performance measures, largely focused on case aging statistics, for court operations management; and 3) the Trial Court Data Model for the capture and organization of court activity data.
 2. Staff advised that this will be the final summary concerning the TIMS project. The Integrated Trial Court Adjudication System (ITCAS) incorporates many of the elements developed during the TIMS project into a simpler framework.
 3. The ITCAS project includes a state-level data management component referred to as Judicial Data Management Services (JDMS). It is within the context of a JDMS system that the Trial Court Data Model, case activity reporting, and other data management capabilities will be expressed.
- C. Judicial Data Management Services (JDMS)

**Commission on Trial Court
Performance & Accountability
Court Statistics & Workload Committee**

1. Staff reported that a proposal for this project has been submitted to OSCA's senior management outlining several options for implementation; however, no final decisions on the implementation of this project have been made.
2. Staff informed members that the data collection effort and associated web-based reporting services for the FY2013-14 Foreclosure Initiative will be based on the proposed JDMS enterprise design. Staff believes that this initiative would serve as an excellent proof of concept for JDMS development with the added benefit of expanding the design to all case types in order to satisfy the requirements of Rule 2.225(a)(2).

D. FY2013-14 Foreclosure Initiative

1. The FY2013-14 Foreclosure Initiative and the associated Data Collection Plan were introduced to members. Although the CSWC is not specifically involved in this project, the initiative incorporates several aspects of the committee's work in the field, including:
 - i. the Trial Court Data Model;
 - ii. the Case Event Framework; and
 - iii. the computational methodology for the performance measures required by Rule 2.225(a)(2) adopted on Feb 1, 2013.

E. Uniform Data Reporting – Court Interpreters Data Collection

1. Recommendation VIII of AOSC11-45 directs TCP&A and CSWC with two modifications to the court interpreting statistics collected in the Uniform Data Reporting (UDR) system.
2. OSCA, TCP&A and CSWC staff met in May 2013 to begin work on Recommendation VIII. On May 31, staff began a survey of all OSCA units and Trial Court Administrators to help identify possible changes that may be necessary to the UDR system for court interpreting reporting as per VIII.2.
3. Staff is also taking the opportunity to evaluate other UDR reporting elements for possible modifications needed. If changes are needed, they will be brought before the CSWC.

Item VII. Next Meeting

1. Staff presented possible dates for the next meeting, which will be an in-person meeting.
2. Staff will email members to determine their availability for the next meeting date and location.

12:30 pm Meeting Adjourned